



Molecular Crystals

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gmcl15>

Temperature Dependence of Current Carriers Mobilities in Anthracene

G. Delacote^a

^a IEN Algiers, Algérie

Version of record first published: 21 Mar 2007.

To cite this article: G. Delacote (1969): Temperature Dependence of Current Carriers Mobilities in Anthracene, Molecular Crystals, 5:3, 309-311

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15421406908083465>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Temperature Dependence of Current Carriers Mobilities in Anthracene

(Comment)

G. DELACÔTE

LEN BP,
1305 Algiers,
Algérie

Received September 3, 1968

In a recent paper,¹ hereafter referred to as (1) Chojnacki has reported calculation of transfer integrals in anthracene crystal at both room and low temperature (290 °K, 95 °K). He uses Slater atomic wave functions with an exponent $\alpha = 3.08 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, together with Hückel coefficients, in order to build the molecular wave function of the excess electron (or hole) on an anthracene molecule. The crystal structure at those two temperatures was studied by Mason² who showed that there was not only a change in the dimensions and the angle of the monoclinic unit cell but also slight rotation of the molecules within this unit cell.

The T.I. values (squared) enter in the expression of mobility. Therefore the temperature dependence of the mobility can be explained by an eventual variation of the transfer integrals with temperature due to a temperature induced change of the relative equilibrium positions of the different molecules. However due to the Einstein relation between mobility and the diffusion constant there is already a T^{-1} dependence of the mobility with temperature. A departure of the experimental values from this T^{-1} dependence may be explained for instance by a T.I. variation with temperature, but also by a temperature dependence of the scattering factor, or even (according to Gosar and Choi³) by the influence of a phonon assisted part of the mobility which is obviously dependent upon the density of phonons, which in turn is linearly proportional to T .

Our comment will be limited to the discussion of Chojnacki's result, and not devoted to a general study of the temperature dependence of the carriers mobility in anthracene.⁴ We have summarized in Table 1 all the T.I. values computed with the same

TABLE 1 Transfer integrals values between central molecule and molecule (010), (1/2 1/2 0) (1/2 1/2 1). All units are in 10^{-4} eV.

Authors	Molecules					
	010		1/2 1/2 0		1/2 1/2 1	
	+	-	+	-	+	-
Chojnacki ¹						
290 °K	- 23.20	17.60	- 17.43	- 25.00	- 1.69	- 0.58
95 °K	- 3.81	17.57	+ 8.25	- 32.74	- 7.52	+ 0.78
Leblanc ⁶						
290 °K	- 15.9	+ 12.1	- 13.2	- 19.5	+ 5.02	+ 0.87
Katz <i>et al.</i> ⁷						
290 °K	- 20.71	15.63	- 18.35	- 24.82	4.15	0.67
Delacôte ⁸						
290 °K	- 22.3	16.75	- 18.2	- 24.60	4.52	0.80
95 °K	- 23.2	17.05	- 25.85	- 33.10	5.95	0.732

assumptions as Chojnacki used. One difference between those results is related to the number of carbon atoms which are included in the calculation, whether one selects atoms at distances smaller than 5 Å, 10 Å or one considers all the carbon atoms belonging to the two involved molecules. Another difference is due to a slight reported difference in the anthracene room temperature crystallographic structure when measured by Cruickshank⁵ and by Mason.²

However some of the values underlined in Table 1 published in (1) are strongly different from values reported by other authors. Those differences cannot be accounted by the previous arguments. We believe that those numbers should be revised.

The largest contribution to the temperature dependence of the mobility which can be deduced from corrected values of T.I. is in $T^{-0.6}$, which gives a maximum value of $n < 1.6$ in $\mu\alpha T^{-n}$. Therefore the values of n quoted in Table 5¹ are wrong in sign (a, b) and

much too large (a, b, c') in magnitude for the holes, and too small in magnitude for the electrons. Almost all of the conclusions on the shape of the bands at 95 °K must also be revised, except Figs. 3 and 5¹ which figure out the shapes of the excess electron band in the a^{-1} and the b^{-1} direction at 95 °K and 290 °K.

Finally there is the special temperature dependence of the electron mobility in the c' direction which certainly cannot be explained by the variation of the related transfer integrals with temperature (despite an increase of this T.I. computed with SCF wave functions, when the temperature goes up⁴). It is believed that this mobility is a kind of phonon assisted mobility result which cannot be satisfactorily described by the application of a simple "band model" picture to the calculation of charge transport parameters.

REFERENCES

1. Chojnacki, H. *Mol. Cryst.* **275**, 3 (1968).
2. Mason, R. *Acta Cryst.* **17**, 547 (1964).
3. Gosar, P. and Choi, S. I., *Phys. Rev.* **150**, 529 (1966).
4. Delacôte, G., Thesis, Université de Paris, 1968.
5. Cruickschank, D. W. J., *Acta Cryst.* **9**, 915 (1966).
6. Leblanc, O. H., *J. Chem. Phys.* **35**, 1275 (1961).
7. Katz, L. *et al.* *J. Chem. Phys.* **39**, 1683 (1963).
8. Delacôte, G. and Tiberghien, A. Proceedings of the 4th Molecular Crystal Symposium, Enschede, July 1968.